

1	TO THE COURT AND ALL PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:
2	Having met and conferred with respect to the matters set forth herein, plaintiff Scottsdale
3	Insurance Company ("Scottsdale") and defendant Hudson Specialty Insurance Company ("Hudson")
4	referred to collectively as the "Parties," by and through their respective counsel, hereby stipulate and
5	agree as follows:
6	I. RECITALS
7	1. WHEREAS, Scottsdale propounded requests for admission to Hudson and Hudson
8	served responses.
9	2. WHEREAS, Hudson recently became aware of the need to revise responses to
10	Scottsdale's requests for admission, request nos. 3 and 5.
11	3. WHEREAS, the parties hereby agree and stipulate to a Court order to allow Hudson
12	to revise its responses to Scottsdale's requests for admission, request nos. 3 and 5.
13	II.
14	STIPULATION
15	Scottsdale and Hudson hereby stipulate and agree as follows:
16	1. The Parties agree to a Court order to allow Hudson to revise its responses to
17	Scottsdale's requests for admission, request nos. 3 and 5.
18	boottodato s roquesto for administratify roquest notify and pr
19	Dated: December 5, 2016 SELMAN BREITMAN LLP
20	3 Tel Joho R
21 22	JAMES R. TENERO
22	WENDY M. SCHENK Attorneys for Plaintiff
23	SCOTTSDALE INSURANCE COMPANY
24 25	
25 26	
20 27	
28	

-1-

741921

1	Dated: December 5, 2016 HAYES SCOTT BONINO ELLINGSON & McLAY, LLP
2	Ву
3	STEPHEN M. HAYES STEPHEN P. ELLINGSON
4	JONATHAN K. MYERS
5	Attorneys for Defendant HUDSON SPECIALTY INSURANCE COMPANY
6	
7	[PROPOSED] ORDER
8	Pursuant to the parties' stipulation, the Court hereby orders as follows:
9	 Hudson is allowed to serve revised responses to Scottsdale's requests for admission,
10 11	request nos. 3 and 5.
	IT IS SO ORDERED.
12 13	
14	Dated:December 6,2016
15	
16	By Haywood S. Gilliam, JR.
17	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	741921